1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

1314

15

1617

18

1920

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

NOU CHUNG HER.

Defendant.

Case No. 1:24-CR-0107 KES BAM

ORDER FOR PSYCHIATRIC OR PSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. §4241(b) AND EXCLUSION OF TIME

On March 12, 2025, Defendant Nou Chung Her appeared with counsel for a status conference. At the conference, defense counsel expressed his concern about defendant's mental condition and

orally moved for a psychological evaluation. In the oral motion, counsel represented his concern that his client lacks the present ability to consult with counsel in a meaningful way and that Defendant is

unable to assist properly in his defense. The Court observed, that in multiple hearings, Mr. Her had a

vacant expression, never raised his eyes, and was not mentally following what was happening in Court.

The Court finds that once the Court is presented with representations which form reasonable cause to believe the defendant lacks the mental fitness to proceed, whether by motion, by facts coming before it, or through its own observations, the Court is mandated to order a psychiatric or psychological exam. *See generally Chavez v. United States*, 656 F.2d 512, 516-18 (9th Cir.1981). Based upon counsel's oral motion and the conduct at the hearing, the Court has reasonable cause to

believe defendant lacks the mental fitness to proceed and assist properly in his defense.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §4241(b), a psychiatric or psychological examination of Defendant Nou Chung Her be conducted and that a psychiatric or psychological report be filed with the Court pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. §4247(b) and (c). It is further ORDERED that defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General, and the United States Marshal's Service is directed to transfer Defendant to a suitable facility for examination. The Court recommends a suitable facility within reasonable proximity to this District.

The Court orders this entire period of time be deemed excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(1)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2025 /s/ Barlara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE